

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,361	07/12/2001	Roy C. Krohn	KRO 0129 PUS	3010
75	690 05/20/2003			
Michael S. Brodbine Brooks & Kushman P.C. 22nd Floor			EXAMINER	
			TUCKER, PHILIP C	
1000 Town Center Southfield, MI 48075-1351			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Southield, Mi	40075-1551		1712	ン
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	٦

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>.</b>
Office Action Summary	Application No.  904361  Examiner  O, TUCKER  1712
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
P riod for R ply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If all, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status 0 /0 /	103
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>	ept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi n of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1 6-4 14-41	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
X Claim(s) 20-41	is/are allowed.
$\times$ Claim(s) 20-41 $\times$ Claim(s) 1, 14-16, 18, 19	is/are allowed.
© Claim(s) 20-41  © Claim(s) 1, 14-16, 18, 19  □ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
X  Claim(s) 20 - 41  Z  Claim(s) 1 , 14 - 16 , 18 , 19   Claim(s)   Claim	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election
X  Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) 20 - 41  Claim(s) 1, 14 - 16, 18, 19  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Applicati n Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) 20 - 41 Claim(s) 1, 14 - 16, 18, 19 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Applicati n Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of	is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) 20 - 41  Claim(s) 1, 14 - 16, 18, 19  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Applicati n Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) 20 - 41  Claim(s) 14 - 16, 18, 19  Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) 20 - 41 Claim(s) 1, 14 - 16, 18, 19 Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority und r 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) 20 - 41  Claim(s) 14 - 16, 18, 19  Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) 20 - 41  Claim(s) 14 - 16, 18, 19  Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s) 20 - 41  Claim(s) 1 - 16, 19  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Applicati n Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority und r 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorical contents.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received
Claim(s) 14 - 16, 19  Claim(s) 19  Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Claim(s) 14 - 16, 19  Claim(s) 19  Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Claim(s) 14 - 16, 19 Claim(s) 19 Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner  r.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

Application/Control Number: 09/904361 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 05-311103.

JP 5-311103 teaches a photocurable composition comprising a photocurable organic mixture, a photoinitiator, and silver particles. The silver particles may be a combination of silver powder and silver flakes having the flakes in greater than 20 % amount (see translation).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/904361

Art Unit: 1712

4. Claims 1, 14-16, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 5-311103.

JP 5-311103 teaches a photocurable composition comprising a photocurable organic mixture, a photoinitiator, and silver particles. The silver particles may be a combination of silver powder and silver flakes having the flakes in greater than 20 % amount (see translation). JP 5-311103 differs from the present invention in that the specific amount of silver powder and silver flakes is not disclosed in the examples. JP '103 teaches that the flakes may comprise from 10-75% of the silver powder mixture, and further teaches examples which comprise about 80-85% of the silver flake and powder mixture. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a combination of silver powder and silver flakes in the composition, said composition having 50-60% of powder, or 25-35% of flakes. JP '103 further differs in not specifying the amount of initiator used in the composition. The variation of the amount of initiator to achieve optimum curing time of the composition would be an obvious variation to one of ordinary skill in the art (In re Aller 105 USPQ 233).

5. Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/904361

Art Unit: 1712

- 6. Claims 20-41 are allowable over the art of record.
- 7. Applicants terminal disclaimer has overcome the rejection under obviousness double patenting. Applicants arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive with respect to claim 1, and those dependent therefrom. Applicant has argued that the use of the term "consisting essentially of" distinguishes over the present invention. The use of "consisting essentially of" does not distinguish the present invention, since the JP reference also is cured by UV light into a silver coating. The basic and novel characteristics are thus not changed by the inclusion of a Ag containing organic metal compound (In re Herz, In re Janikarama-Rao 137 USPQ 893). Furthermore, the use of such compound is not necessary in the invention of JP (see example 7, and general text). The rejection is thus maintained.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 09/904361

Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The **after final** fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2805 May 15, 2003

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712